



February 18, 2020

1300 North 17<sup>th</sup> Street  
Suite 1275  
Arlington, Virginia 22209  
Telephone: 703.299.8800  
www.naseo.org

The Honorable Neal Chatterjee, Chairman  
The Honorable Richard Glick, Commissioner  
The Honorable Bernard McNamee, Commissioner  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington D.C. 20426

Re: Calpine Corporation, et al. v. PJM Interconnection, LLC,  
Docket No. EL16-49, EL 18-178 (consolidated)

Dear Chairman Chatterjee, Commissioner Glick and Commissioner McNamee:

On behalf of the National Association of State Energy Officials (NASEO), I want to express our concern over the order in the above-captioned case, issued on December 19, 2019. NASEO represents the state energy directors, and these officials lead state efforts in developing energy policies, programs, and initiatives. They work with their governors and state legislatures in a variety of energy areas, such as developing state energy plans and policies, preparing for energy emergencies, and addressing resilience needs.

NASEO, while not a participant in this case, is compelled to express deep concern over the order's expected intended or unintended consequences of limiting state authority and constraining the states' abilities to enact and implement policies and programs that they believe are beneficial to their citizens, both now and into the future. The order appears to limit concepts of cooperative federalism and we urge the Commission to either modify it or suspend it. The new, expanded concepts underlying the minimum offer price rule (MOPR), which would broadly define "state subsidies," are antithetical to the basic idea of cooperative federalism and the long-time interpretations of Part II of the Federal Power Act. There appears to be no rational basis for the "state subsidies" argument, other than to impose a Commission majority perspective over the studied actions of state officials who may choose as a matter of law to support clean energy policies and generation, nuclear power, and other generation sources. If unmodified, the order in this case will limit our nation's and states' ability to respond to emergencies, implement a more resilient energy system, and respond to a range of economic challenges. We remain fearful that the Commission's order will have the unintended effect of rendering organized markets as an obstacle to state pursuit of the needs of its citizens and economy.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit these comments out of time in this proceeding.

Respectfully,

David Terry  
Executive Director

cc: State Energy Officials

#### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

**Chair**  
ANDREW MCALLISTER  
California

**Vice Chair**  
KELLEY SMITH BURK  
Florida

**Treasurer**  
MOLLY CRIPPS  
Tennessee

**Secretary**  
JOHN WILLIAMS  
New York

**Past Chair**  
ROBERT JACKSON  
Michigan

**Parliamentarian**  
JASON LANCLOS  
Louisiana

#### Regional Representatives

DAN BURGESS  
Maine

PATRICK WOODCOCK  
Massachusetts

DAVE ALTHOFF  
Pennsylvania

MARY BETH TUNG  
Maryland

MITCHELL SIMPSON  
Arkansas

MICHELLE GRANSEE  
Minnesota

CHAD KRUSE  
Illinois

WILL TOOR  
Colorado

JOE FRANCIS  
Nebraska

MICHAEL FURZE  
Washington

JOHN CHATBURN  
Idaho

**Executive Director**  
DAVID TERRY

**General Counsel**  
JEFFREY C. GENZER